NITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov JUL 3 0 2007 FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/686,203 10/15/2003 Gary E. Brant B087244 5877 07/19/2007 **EXAMINER** Patricia E. McQueeney CHEN, SHIN HON Becker & Poliakoff, P.A. 3111 Stirling Road **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER Fort Lauderdale, FL 33312 2131 MAIL DATE **DELIVERY MODE** 07/19/2007 **PAPER**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
*** ***	10/686,203	BRANT ET AL.	•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shin-Hon Chen	2131	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		th the correspondence address -	
eriod for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI a. cause the application to become A	CATION. apply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
itatus	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 C	October 2003.		
·— ·	s action is non-final.		•
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application).		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.		•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2003 is/are		objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	· ·		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.	•	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price			
application from the International Burea			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)	A) T Intendad	Summary (PTO-413)	
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/15/03.	5) Notice of 6) Other: _	Informal Patent Application ——	•

Application/Control Number: 10/686,203

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-18 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 8, 9 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Kiyomoto U.S. Pat. No. 7017182 (hereinafter Kiyomoto).
- 4. As per claim 1, Kiyomoto discloses a method of securely transmitting digital text comprising: a) utilizing a biometric sensor to generate a key for a customer (Kiyomoto: column 4 lines 21-24: provide a encoding utilizing fingerprint); b) utilizing said key to encrypt said digital text (Kiyomoto: column 2 lines 29-32: the data is encrypted with receiver's fingerprint generated key); c) transmitting said encrypted digital text to said customer (Kiyomoto: column 8 lines 40-44: receive the cryptogram); and d) utilizing said biometric sensor to decrypt said encrypted digital text (Kiyomoto: column 6 lines 24-34: freshly stamps fingerprint in live fashion for entering the digitized fingerprint image; column 8 lines 29-44: verification is required prior to decode the cryptogram).

Application/Control Number: 10/686,203

Art Unit: 2131

- 5. As per claim 8, Kiyomoto discloses the method of claim 1. Kiyomoto further discloses wherein said key contains no biometric information (Kiyomoto: column 2 lines 36-38: fingerprint image data are not recorded but only encoded information are deposited).
- 6. As per claim 9, Kiyomoto discloses the method of claim 1. Kiyomoto further discloses the encryption is step is repeatedly performed seven times (Kiyomoto: column 7 lines 61-63: repeated using the fingerprint information to adjust data length).
- 7. As per claim 13, Kiyomoto discloses the method of claim 1. Kiyomoto further discloses wherein said transmission step is performed electronically (Kiyomoto: column 8 lines 48-50: communication network).
- 8. As per claim 14, Kiyomoto discloses the method of claim 1. Kiyomoto further discloses wherein said transmission step is performed physically (Kiyomoto: column 8 lines 38).
- 9. As per claim 15, Kiyomoto discloses the method of claim 1. Kiyomoto further discloses wherein transmission of said text to said customer does not contain said key (Kiyomoto: column 8 lines 35-39: forwards the cryptogram to receiver).
- 10. As per claim 16, Kiyomoto discloses a symmetric key system for securely transmitting digital text/comprising: a) digital text (Kiyomoto: column 2 line 10: data/information); b) a customer (Kiyomoto: column 2 lines 30-32: receiver); c) a key unique to said customer

Art Unit: 2131

(Kiyomoto: column 2 lines 31-32: fingerprint information deposited by receiver); d) a biometric sensor (Kiyomoto: column 6 lines 29-30: fingerprint reading unit); wherein said digital text is encrypted using said key prior to transmission (Kiyomoto: column 2 lines 30-32: encrypt with receiver's biometric key), wherein said encrypted digital text is transmitted without said key (Kiyomoto: column 2 lines 32-36: decrypt received data with biometric key), wherein said customer accesses said key using said biometric sensor (Kiyomoto: column 6 lines 15-34: output digitally stored key upon biometric verification), wherein said customer decrypts said digital text utilizing said key (Kiyomoto: column 8 lines 40-44: decodes cryptogram).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 2-7, 10-12, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kiyomoto in view of Bjorn et al. U.S. Pat. No. 3122737 (hereinafter Bjorn).
- 13. As per claim 2-7, Kiyomoto discloses the method of claim 1. Kiyomoto further discloses wherein said digital text comprises data/information. The examiner has interpreted "data" to be any item of information to be communicated between two parties that include but are not limited to movies in a studio film archive, songs in a music archive, information in a finance archive, personal medical information, master tapes generated in a recording studio, or government

Application/Control Number: 10/686,203

Art Unit: 2131

information (Kiyomoto: column 2 lines 10-11: data/information communication utilizing the encoded fingerprint information).

- 14. As per claim 10, Kiyomoto discloses the method of claim 1. Kiyomoto does not explicitly disclose wherein said encryption step comprises decryption limitations. However, Bjorn discloses that limitation (Bjorn: column 7 lines 11-17: timestamp or other tracking information is encoded). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to include decryption limitations to data communicated in a network because data access control can be applied to all data being communicated that are protected by biometric information. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to combine the teachings of Bjorn within the system of Kiyomoto because it provides limited access to data by specifying the number of times the data can be accessed (Bjorn: column 7 lines 14-15).
- 15. As per claim 11, Kiyomoto as modified discloses the method of claim 1. Kiyomoto as modified further discloses wherein said decryption limitations comprise expiration time periods (Bjorn: column 7 lines 11-14). Same rationale applies here as above in claim 10.
- 16. As per claim 12, Kiyomoto as modified discloses the method of claim 1. Kiyomoto as modified further discloses wherein said decryption limitations comprise a number limit to the number of times the text may be decrypted (Bjorn: column 7 lines 11-15). Same rationale applies here as above in claim 10.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,203 Page 6

Art Unit: 2131

17. As per claim 17, Kiyomoto discloses the system of claim 16. Kiyomoto discloses an apparatus that contains fingerprint sensor and decrypt the proprietary digital text using said biometric sensor (Kiyomoto: column 6 lines 15-34). Kiyomoto does not explicitly disclose wherein said biometric sensor comprises a digital text reader. However, Bjorn discloses that a sensor device can be incorporated into a digital system that can read digital data and decrypt encrypted digital data (Bjorn: column 4 lines 55-63: the digital system may be a portable computer...the sensor may be located within the digital system). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have built-in fingerprint device within the digital system because communication can take place regardless whether the communication is within the system or between two devices. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to combine the teachings of Bjorn within the system of Kiyomoto because no duplicative memory, security units and USB controller would be required (Bjorn: column 4 lines 55-63).

18. As per claim 18, Kiyomoto discloses the system of claim 17. Kiyomoto as modified further discloses wherein said biometric sensor/digital text reader is portable (Bjorn: column 4 lines 56-57). Same rationale applies here as above in claim 17.

Conclusion

19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/686,203

Art Unit: 2131

Willins et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6990587 discloses cryptographic architecture for secure, private biometric identification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shin-Hon Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Shin-Hon Chen Examiner Art Unit 2131

SC

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination Application/Control No. 10/686,203 **BRANT ET AL. Notice of References Cited** Art Unit Examiner Page 1 of 1 2131 Shin-Hon Chen

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-7,017,182	03-2006	Kiyomoto, Shoichi	726/5
*	В	US-6,122,737	09-2000	Bjom et al.	713/188
*	С	US-6,990,587	01-2008	Willins et al.	713/182
	٥	US-	·		·
	Ε	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-		·	
	Н	US-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-		•	
	ĸ	US-		·	
	L	US-			
	M	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N			·		
	0					
	Р					
	Q			·		
	R					
	S					
Г	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher; Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	υ	
	>	
	w	
	x	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

SERIAL NO. ATTY DOCKET NO. B087244 INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Gary E. Brant & Ayanna M. Howard (Use several sheets if necessary) **TBD** TBD U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS FRING DATE *EXAMINER SUBCLASS CLASS DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE F APPROPRIATE DITTAL SC 5/29/03 Wang US 2003/0101349 A1 SC US 2003/0115475 A1 6/18/03 Russo, et al. SC Cannon US 2003/0131247 A1 7/10/03 SC 7/30/96 Tomko, et al. 5,541,994 SC 1/27/98 Tomko, et al. 5,712,912 SC 3/7/00 Bjorn 6,035,398 SC 9/19/00 6,122,737 Bjorn, et al. SC 6,219,794 B1 4/17/01 Soutar, et al. SC 6,256,737 B1 7/3/01 Bianco, et al. SC 12/11/01 Angelo, et al. 6,330,674 B1 SC 3/5/02 Hollingshead 6,353,889 B1 FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS TRANSLATION DOCUMENT NUMBER COUNTRY CLASS SUBCLASS DATE YES NO

EXAMINER /Shin Hon Chen/
DATE CONSIDERED 02/22/2007

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not

(Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

OTHER DOCUMENTS

considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Form PTO-A820 (also form PTO-1449)

PO9C/REVO3

Patent and Trademark Office * U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Application Number Ducket Number (Optional) B087244 IDS ENERGENIZATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Applicant(s) Gary E. Brant & Ayanna M. Howard (Use several sheets (f necessary) Filing Date **TBD TBD** U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS FILDIG DATE EXAMINER SUBCLASS DATE KAME DOCUMENT NUMBER MITTAL IP APPROPRIATE 9/20/01 **Klyomoto** SC US 2001/0023483 A1 9/27/01 Uchida US 2001/0025342 A1 SC 1/10/02 Schull SC US 2002/0004785 A1 SC US 2002/0038427 A1 3/28/02 Krieger 4/18/02 Shen · SC US 2002/0044650 A1 SC US 2002/0124176 A1 9/5/02 Epstein SC US 2002/0144128 A1 10/3/02 Rahman, et al. Willins, et al. SC 10/17/02 US 2002/0152391 A1 SC 12/12/02 Heaven, et al. US 2002/0188854 A1 SC 4/17/03 Kinsella, et al. US 2003/0074568 A1 SC 5/8/03 **Forrest** US 2003/0088782 A1 **FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS** SUBCLASS CLASS COUNTRY DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE YES NO OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

Form PTO-A820 (also form PTO-1449)

/Shin Hon Chen/

not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

EXAMINER

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP Section 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and

DATE CONSIDERED

02/22/2007

SERIAL NO. 10/646203 ATTY DOCKET NO. B087244 INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Gary E. Brant & Ayanna M. Howard (Use several sheets if necessary) TBD **TBD** U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS FILING DATE BUBCLASS EXAMINER CLASS DATE NAME DOCUMENT NUMBER IF APPROPRIATE PHITIAL 7/2/02 Doland SC 6,415,032 B1 Moldovyan, et al. 10/8/02 6,463,150 B1 SC 12/3/02 Scheidt, et al. SC 6,490,680 B1 Matyas, Jr., et al. SC 6,507,912 B1 1/14/03 2/11/03 Javidi 6,519,340 B1 8C FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS TRANSLATION CLASS SUBCLASS COUNTRY DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE YES. NO OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

/Shin Hon Chen/

EXAMINER

DATE CONSIDERED

02/22/2007

PARTY NAMED IN

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER



OFFICIAL BUSINESS

IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DA

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450